Mr. SPEAKER.—I will give my ruling today alone.

Now the next item on the agenda may be taken up.

Papers laid on the Table.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home).—I beg to lay on the Table a copy of Notification dated 28th October 1955, issued under the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939. (Copy placed in Legislature Library. *Vide* No. 33—L.A.)

DISCUSSION ON STATES REORGANISATION COMMISSION REPORT.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The next item on the agenda is, consideration of the States Re-organisation Commission report. Before taking up the report, I would invite the suggestions of the Hon'ble Members regarding two points. The time available at our disposal as per the schedule of programme is three days, and the time at our disposal would be not more than ten hours. We will sit for five hours every day and deduct half an hour for interval and one hour will be taken away for question time. That means only three hours and a half will be left at our disposal for discussion of the report. At that rate for three days, it comes to 10 hours. It is needless for me to state that every Hon'ble Member should be given an opportunity to express his views on this important matter. In view of this, I would like to know whether it is desirable to limit the time for each speaker or whether it is advisable to sit longer hours each day than the usual time of sitting.

Sri T. MARIAPPA (Mysore City North).—May I suggest one thing Sir? It is indeed very good of you to have raised this question at the earliest possible stage. Sir, I remember the Seshadri Committee Report was given more time for discussion. After all that was only an interim report which was mainly placed before this House, whereas the present report is of greater significance: the questions involved are of supreme importance. Therefore it is much better to allot more time in consultation with the Government for discussion of all the implications involved in the report so that the members of this House may participate in the discussion fully.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, I quite agree with Sri Mariappa. This is a very important issue which has been engaging the attention of the entire State and it has become a very controversial issue. So, I do not believe that any purpose would be served by imposing restriction upon the members. I think, ten days were allowed for the discussion of the Fact Finding Committee Report. Now it has come to a practical issue to express so many facts and so many points have to be thrashed out. I think the number of days allotted must be increased.

Originally the adjourned session was fixed on the 10th of this month. I do not know why it was again adjourned to this day all at once. Now the three days allotted for discussion, taking into consideration the importance of the subject, are wholly inadequate. I do not know why the Government are chary in allotting so many days for such an important matter. I feel that there should be no time restriction imposed on this matter. It may be left to the parties themselves to adjust among themselves as to who should be the speakers and other things. But in such important matters, there should not be any restriction. I feel the Government should increase the number of days.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Suppose we do not limit the time; even at that rate, it may not be possible to finish discussion on the report.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—We can over-work by one or two hours every day. As it is, Sunday also has been included. Some of us feel that we must be free on Sunday. Let the session be continued to go on in its own usual course. Let us have a holiday. If need be, let us sit for 15 minutes or half an hour extra every day, but there should not be any extra work. The Report has to be discussed threadbare. In fairness to all persons, it is necessary to allot more number of days.